PRACTICAL LAW COMPANY®

PRACTICAL LAW

MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL GUIDE 2012/13

OUTSOURCING

The law and leading lawyers worldwide

- Essential legal questions answered in 20 key jurisdictions
- Rankings and recommended lawyers in 28 jurisdictions
- Analysis of critical legal issues

INCLUDES PLC WHICH LAWYER? www.whichlawyer.com

AVAILABLE ONLINE AT WWW.PRACTICALLAW.COM/OUTSOURCING-MJG

UK (England and Wales)

Richard Brown, Andrew Lilley, Tom Purton and Dan Reavill

Travers Smith LLP

www.practicallaw.com/9-501-5068

REGULATION AND REQUIREMENTS

National regulations

1. To what extent does national law specifically regulate outsourcing transactions?

National law does not specifically regulate outsourcing transactions.

Sectoral regulations

2. What additional regulations may be relevant for the following types of outsourcing?

Financial services

The Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA) and its subordinate instruments constitute the main legislation regulating financial services. The Financial Services Authority (FSA) is currently the statutory regulator under FSMA and issues rules and guidance. The UK government plans to replace the FSA with two regulators of financial services firms by the end of 2012. The Prudential Regulation Authority will take over responsibility for prudential supervision of banks, insurance companies, building societies, credit unions and large investment firms. The Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) will have responsibility for conduct of business supervision of all financial services firms. An FSA-regulated firm cannot delegate or contract out of its regulatory obligations when outsourcing. It must give advance notice to the FSA of any proposal to enter into a material outsourcing arrangement and of any material changes to arrangements (*see Question 4*).

Specific FSA rules on outsourcing are found in Chapter 8 of the Senior Management Arrangements, Systems and Controls sourcebook (SYSC 8). They implement the outsourcing requirements of Directive 2004/39/EC on markets in financial instruments (MiFID). These mandatory rules apply to common platform firms (broadly, firms within the scope of MiFID and/or Directive 2006/49/EC on the capital adequacy of investment firms and credit institutions). However, all FSA-regulated firms which are not common platform firms (other than insurers, Lloyd's and underwriters on Lloyd's) must treat the SYSC 8 rules as if they were guidance.

Central to the rules are specific requirements in relation to the outsourcing of critical or important functions. A function is deemed to be critical or important if a defect or failure in its performance would materially impair:

- The firm's financial performance.
- The firm's ability to comply with its conditions of authorisation and regulatory obligations.
- The soundness or continuity of its services and activities.

FSA guidance also provides that, when outsourcing functions are not critical or important, firms should take the SYSC 8 rules into account in a manner proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the outsourcing.

SYSC 8 rules impose requirements on, among other things:

- The due diligence to be undertaken in relation to a proposed supplier. For example, the outsourcing firm must ensure that the service provider has the ability, capacity and any authorisation required by law (including, if necessary, FSA authorisation) to perform the outsourced functions, services or activities reliably and professionally.
- The outsourcing contract's terms.
- The basis on which the FSA-regulated firm should supervise the outsourced functions and manage the risk of the outsourcing.

There are additional requirements for firms that outsource portfolio management for retail clients to a supplier in a non-European Economic Area (EEA) state.

Therefore, for an FSA-regulated firm, some of the issues discussed elsewhere in this chapter (for example, service levels (*see Questions 19* and *20*) and contractual terms (*see Questions 24 to 25* and *31 to 35*)) are subject to a regulatory overlay, and the FSA-regulated firm will have to consider the effect of FSA rules and guidance.

Business process

There are no additional regulations relevant to a business process outsourcing.

IT

There are no additional regulations relevant to an IT outsourcing.

Telecommunications

There are no additional regulations relevant to a telecommunications outsourcing.

Public sector

Depending on the nature of the contract and its value, a public-sector outsourcing can be subject to UK regulations that implement EC public procurement Directives. If so, the awarding authority can be required to:

- Advertise the contract in the *Official Journal* of the EU and follow special procedures.
- Ensure that all bidders are treated equally.

The EU public procurement rules are likely to have a significant effect on the:

- Timing of the pre-contract procedure.
- Award criteria adopted.
- Duration of the outsourcing contract (see *Question 29*).

Even where an outsourcing by a public body falls outside public procurement legislation, the awarding authority should still generally seek to comply with the spirit of the legislation (*OJ C179/2, 1 August 2006*). In addition, UK private finance initiative (PFI) legislation applies to certain public sector outsourcing arrangements.

Other laws and guidance can also be relevant, such as the:

- Treasury Decision Map Guidance for Procurement.
- Detailed guidance published by the Office of Government Commerce/Cabinet Office.
- Local Government Acts 1999 and 2000, and local government standing orders.
- Freedom of Information Act 2000.
- Human Rights Act 1998.
- UK legislation implementing Directive 2007/66/EC, amending Directives 89/665/EEC and 92/13/EEC, with regard to improving the effectiveness of review procedures concerning the award of public contracts.

Other

Any prospective supplier or customer should always ensure that a proposed outsourcing is not subject to additional regulatory requirements in other sectors.

3. What further legal or regulatory requirements (formal or informal) are there concerning outsourcing in any industry sector?

Outsourcing covers an extremely broad range of sectors, many of which are subject to sector-specific regulation, such as requirements for licences or authorisations. Therefore, it is not possible to give a brief but comprehensive overview of this. However, for the UK, the sectors listed below are subject to industry-specific regulation. The main relevant regulator is stated in brackets (UK regulators' websites usually contain guidance on regulatory requirements for businesses active in that particular sector):

- Aviation (Civil Aviation Authority).
- Consumer credit (Office of Fair Trading).
- Education and childcare (Ofsted).
- Energy (Ofgem).
- Financial services (see *Question 2* and the point below on Pensions).
- Food (Food Standards Agency).
- Gambling (Gambling Commission).
- Health and social care (Care Quality Commission).
- Medicines and medical devices (Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency).
- Pensions (Pensions Regulator; regulation relating to financial services can also be relevant).
- Rail (Office of the Rail Regulator).
- Road transport (Vehicle and Operator Services Agency).
- Security services (Security Industry Authority).
- Telecommunications, broadcasting and postal services (Ofcom).
- Water and sewerage services (OFWAT).

This is not an exhaustive list. Depending on the activities of the outsourcing services provider, licences, permits or approvals can also be required from numerous other bodies such as local authorities, the Health and Safety Executive (in respect of, for example, handling certain chemicals) or government departments (for example, Ministry of Defence approval can be required to carry out certain defence-related activities). Another useful source of information when seeking to determine the nature and extent of any UK sectoral regulation is *www.businesslink.gov.uk*.

4. What requirements (formal or informal) are there for regulatory notification or approval of outsourcing transactions in any industry sector?

Financial services

FSA-regulated firms must give notice to the FSA before entering into, or significantly changing, a material outsourcing arrangement. Although no period of notice is specified, the FSA expects firms to discuss matters with it at an early stage, before making any internal or external commitments.

Failure to give this notice to the FSA amounts to a breach of the rule. The FSA has various enforcement powers at its disposal, including the power to impose an unlimited fine (*see Question 2*).

Merger control

Regardless of the industry sector, it may be necessary or advisable in certain circumstances to notify an outsourcing arrangement to the relevant authorities under EU or UK merger control legislation. EU merger control is beyond the scope of this chapter, but if an outsourcing agreement falls within the scope of Regulation (EC) 139/2004 on the control of concentrations between undertakings (Merger Regulation), then it must be notified to the European Commission and implementation of the transaction must be suspended pending clearance. The UK authorities will not be able to apply national merger control (subject to certain exceptions which can allow for a "reference back" of some or all aspects of the transaction). See *Transactions and practices: EU Mergers and acquisitions* for further information regarding the EU merger regime.

UK merger control

Where an outsourcing is not subject to the Merger Regulation, UK merger control legislation can apply (*Enterprise Act 2002*). This only applies where both:

- Two or more "enterprises" cease to be distinct.
- One of the two jurisdictional tests set out below is satisfied (in which case consideration should be given to whether the transaction should be notified to the Office of Fair Trading (OFT)).

Notification is not compulsory but completion without the OFT's approval entails certain risks, including the possibility that the outsourcing service supplier can subsequently be required to sell all or part of the business acquired and the contract can be terminated.

According to OFT guidance, an outsourcing arrangement is likely to satisfy the first point above only if the arrangement involves the permanent (or long-term) transfer of assets, rights and/or employees to the outsourcing service supplier and where those transferred elements can be used to supply services other than to the original owner/employer.

The second point above will be satisfied where either:

- The UK turnover of the part of the customer's business being outsourced exceeds GB£70 million (as at 1 February 2011, US$1 was about GB£0.6).
- As a result of the transaction, the supplier and the part of the customer's business being outsourced together supply 25% or more of all the goods or services of a particular description supplied in the UK (or in a substantial part of it). This test can be easily satisfied on the basis of a narrow description of services (it need not be a viable market in economic terms).

Joint ventures and merger control

In circumstances where the customer and supplier set up a joint venture (*see Question 5*), the analysis as to whether merger control applies can well be different. See *Transactions and practices: UK Mergers and Acquisitions* and *Transactions and practices: EU Mergers and acquisitions* for more information.

LEGAL STRUCTURES

5. What legal structures are commonly used in an outsourcing?

Direct outsourcing

Description of structure. The simplest structure is a direct outsourcing (that is, an outsourcing contract between the customer and the supplier). This can comprise one or more separate contracts dealing with core issues (for example, price and duration) with detailed schedules that set out:

- The staff and assets transferred.
- The services provided.
- Service levels.
- The consequences of failing to meet service levels.

If the proposed supplier is not the main trading entity within its group, or does not have sufficient assets to meet its potential contractual liabilities, the customer can require a parent company guarantee.

The structure is more complex if the customer procures services on behalf of itself and its group companies. Generally, the customer either:

- Enters into the outsourcing agreement as agent on behalf of its group companies.
- Includes a third-party rights clause to ensure its group companies have directly enforceable rights under the contract.

A supplier should consider including specific contract provisions that control multiple actions by the customer and its group companies, and ensure that its liability limitations and exclusions apply to each and all of them.

If the supplier intends to use subcontractors, the customer can require:

- That the supplier notify it of the choice of subcontractor.
- That the supplier remain liable for its subcontractors' acts and omissions.
- A right to veto particular subcontractors.
- A right, if the supplier suffers a certain level of financial distress, to:
  - pay subcontractors directly; or
  - insist on contracts with key subcontractors being assigned to the customer.

Advantages and disadvantages. Direct outsourcing arrangements allow the customer to streamline its operations and take advantage of economies of scale achieved by a large supplier. By retaining a third party to take care of non-core operations, the customer will be better able to focus on the core areas of its business. Many of the potential issues associated with outsourcing are dependent on the sector in which the customer operates and the activities being outsourced. For example, quality control is vital to protect against reputational damage sustained as a result of poor service in call centres. The customer may also find additional obstacles presented by the costs associated with the physical transfer of the services and ongoing costs such as travel and cross-jurisdictional advice.

Multi-sourcing

Description of structure. The customer enters into contracts with different suppliers for separate elements of its requirements. The issues are generally similar to those experienced in a direct outsourcing (*see above, Direct outsourcing*) but, in addition, the customer must ensure interfaces between the different suppliers are carefully managed, to encourage the seamless provision of an overall service. The customer may also wish to impose contractual obligations on suppliers to co-operate with one another.

Advantages and disadvantages. The structure shares similar advantages and disadvantages to direct outsourcing, but the need for effective interfacing between the various suppliers can add layers of cost and complexity. One advantage can be avoiding over-reliance on a single supplier (but only where identical services are sourced from several different suppliers).

Indirect outsourcing

Description of structure. This is similar to a direct outsourcing (*see above, Direct outsourcing*), except that the customer appoints a supplier that immediately subcontracts to a different supplier. Often, the second supplier is located outside the UK, and the first supplier is UK-based.

Advantages and disadvantages. The structure shares similar advantages and disadvantages to direct outsourcing, but it is harder for the customer to enforce its rights against the overseas supplier. The resulting level of management and risk sharing can erode some of the potential cost savings.

Joint venture or partnership

Description of structure. The customer and the supplier set up a joint venture company, partnership or contractual joint venture, perhaps operating in an offshore jurisdiction.

Advantages and disadvantages. Advantages of this structure include the following:

- Customer has a greater degree of control than in other models.
- Customer benefits from the supplier's knowledge and credibility.
- Customer shares in profits generated by third-party business that the joint venture conducts.
- Structure is easier than others to transfer to a new supplier or take back in-house on termination.

However, the joint venture structure is complicated and expensive to set up and maintain.

Captive entity

Description of structure. The customer outsources its processes to a wholly-owned subsidiary, taking advice from local suppliers on a consultancy basis.

Advantages and disadvantages. This gives the customer direct operational control and can have tax benefits in appropriate jurisdictions. However, there will be significant upfront set-up costs, and risk cannot pass to a third-party supplier.

Build operate transfer

Description of structure. The customer contracts with a third-party supplier (perhaps overseas) to build and operate a facility. The supplier then transfers the facility to the customer.

Advantages and disadvantages. This is a relatively low-risk model but can be expensive. The customer can ask the supplier to operate the facility in the longer term.

PROCUREMENT PROCESSES

6. What procurement processes are used to select a supplier of outsourced services?

The process is typically as follows:

- Initial due diligence.
- The customer (and/or its advisers) draws up a specification of the business to be outsourced and identifies potential suppliers. This usually involves the customer conducting due diligence on the function to be outsourced (and any relevant IT), which gives it a clear idea of its requirements, and reduces the potential for having to widen the scope during the tender exercise. It can also conduct some degree of due diligence on potential suppliers (for example, their probity and financial strength, and a review of reference sites).

Request for information

In the UK, a customer can send a request for information (RFI) to potential suppliers. Generally, this briefly outlines the areas the customer is considering outsourcing and asks questions relating to the supplier's capabilities and competence.

Invitation to tender

The customer can send out an invitation to tender (ITT) in addition or as an alternative, and invite responses. The customer should include in the ITT:

- All information that it considers the supplier needs to make a bid.
- A clear and detailed statement of the service requirements.
- Preferably, a draft contract on which it invites the supplier to comment.

Shortlisting

The customer assesses the responses and shortlists a small number of possible suppliers. The customer should establish its evaluation criteria at an early stage. The supplier's capacity and ability are likely to be assessed at this stage.

Negotiation and further due diligence

After shortlisting, more detailed negotiations begin. Generally, the potential supplier(s) carry out some degree of due diligence. Work streams are established to conduct commercial, technical and legal negotiation. It is important that these work streams are closely co-ordinated.

The customer can conduct negotiations with:

- Several short-listed parties (this is complex and costly).
- One preferred bidder (which risks loss of competitive tension in negotiation).

Either party can carry out further due diligence after contract signature as part of the contract process to establish a baseline against which service provision can be measured.

TRANSFERRING OR LEASING ASSETS

Formalities for transfer

7. What formalities are required to transfer assets on an outsourcing?

Immovable property

Transfer of title to immovable property in England and Wales must be in writing and, in many cases, requires registration at the Land Registry. Where the asset is a lease or licence, the consent of the landlord or licensor can be required. Where the property is charged to secure debt finance, the consent of the lender is usually required. The transfer of title to immovable property outside England and Wales will be governed by the formalities of the relevant jurisdiction.

IP rights and licences

A transfer of UK IP rights generally must be in writing and can require registration of the transfer at the UK Intellectual Property Office, depending on the rights involved.

The transfer of IP licences should be by written consent (where the licence is expressed to be personal or there is an express restriction on assignment). Particular attention is needed where the licence is held in the name of another group company. Where this is the case, approval should be obtained at an early stage.

Formalities for transferring non-UK IP rights tend to be similar, but important details may vary from the above and appropriate advice should be sought.

Movable property

A written assignment is usually sufficient to transfer movable property for evidential purposes. Where assets are leased, the transfer can require the counterparty's consent.

Key contracts

The assignment of key contracts must be effected in writing. Any contract to be transferred should be identified at an early stage and its terms reviewed, to identify whether assignment is possible without the counterparty's express consent. Alternatively, if the terms of the contract permit, the customer can retain ownership of the contract and allow the supplier to supply the services to the counterparty as agent of the customer on a "back-to-back" basis.

As with the transfer of any contract or licence, consideration should be given as to whether the burden of the contract should also transfer to the supplier, either by:

- Novation.
- Express indemnity (which leaves some residual risk with the transferor).

Offshoring

UK legislation imposes controls on the export of certain goods such as technology which can be used for military or paramilitary purposes. In such cases a licence may be required to facilitate the transfer of assets to a provider based outside the UK. However, in practice, it is unusual for outsourcing transactions to be affected by these controls.

Formalities for leasing or licensing

8. What formalities are required to lease or license assets on an outsourcing?

Immovable property

All leases of immovable property in England and Wales must be in writing, signed by all parties and must incorporate all the agreed terms in one document. It is advisable, although not a legal requirement that licences of immovable property should also comply with these formalities. Leases of more than three years must also be executed as a deed. The consent of any superior landlord or lender can be needed. Leases or licences of immovable property outside England and Wales will be governed by the formalities of the relevant jurisdiction.

IP rights and licences

Licences of registered trade marks must be in writing and signed by the licensor. In relation to other IP rights, a written agreement should be entered into as a matter of good practice. It is usually advisable (but not a requirement) for an exclusive licensee of registered IP rights (such as patents or registered trade marks) to register the exclusive licence with the UK Intellectual Property Office. For the leasing or licensing of existing licences, see below, *Key contracts*.

Movable property

A written lease should be entered into as a matter of good practice to record the terms agreed.

Key contracts

The concept of a contract being leased or licensed is not generally recognised under English law. In practice:

- Rights under a contract can be assigned (subject to consent where necessary).
- Rights and liabilities can be novated.

- A third party can exercise rights or perform obligations as an agent or subcontractor of the contracting party.

Therefore, good practice dictates that the customer should:

- Make a written contract that clearly categorises the basis on which it is leasing the contract to the supplier.
- Consider the need for counterparty consent.

Offshoring. See *Question 7*.

TRANSFERRING EMPLOYEES

Transfer by operation of law

9. In what circumstances (if any) are employees transferred by operation of law?

Initial outsourcing

If the Transfer of Undertakings (Protection of Employment) Regulations 2006 (TUPE) apply, the customer's employees who work on the service being outsourced automatically transfer to the supplier. The employees can opt out of TUPE and resign from their employment without any compensation instead of transferring. However, employees rarely exercise this right.

TUPE applies to almost all initial outsourcing exercises, even where the supplier intends to carry out an activity in a different way from the customer.

Parties cannot contract out of TUPE, but they can agree to apportion the financial consequences of its effects.

If TUPE does not apply, employees do not automatically transfer, but can accept employment with the transferee on a non-TUPE basis (if the transferee chooses to offer it).

Change of supplier

TUPE applies to a change of supplier. Therefore, subject to the opt-out (*see above, Initial outsourcing*), the employees working on the outsourced service transfer from the existing supplier to the new supplier.

Termination

TUPE also applies where the outsourcing terminates and the customer brings the services back in-house. The supplier's employees transfer to the customer. In this situation, the customer can take on more employees than it originally transferred (subject to the opt-out (*see above, Initial outsourcing*)).

10. If employees transfer by operation of law, what are the terms on which they do so?

General terms

Under TUPE, employees wholly or mainly assigned to the services transfer on all their existing terms of employment, although special rules apply to occupational pension schemes (*see below, Pensions*). Any employment liabilities (for example, arrears of pay and discrimination claims) also transfer. It is possible for the customer or existing supplier to retain employees who would otherwise transfer provided the employee expressly agrees.

Pensions

The treatment of pensions on a TUPE transfer depends on whether the transferor operated an occupational pension scheme, or paid contributions into personal pension schemes on behalf of employees:

- Old age, survivors' or invalidity benefits under an occupational pension scheme do not transfer, but all other benefits do. For example, an employee's entitlement to a pension at normal retirement age (which is an old age benefit) does not transfer, but a right to take early retirement on redundancy (which is not an old age benefit) does. (Regardless of which rights under an occupational pension scheme transfer, the transferee has a separate obligation to provide pension arrangements for the transferred employees. These do not have to be equivalent to the transferor's scheme, but must meet certain minimum standards.)
- Where the transferor made contributions to employees' personal pension schemes or to a group personal pension, this obligation transfers.

Employee benefits

All contractual benefits transfer under TUPE (for example, holiday, car allowance and medical insurance).

Difficulties arise where the transferred employees belonged to a share or share option scheme operated by the transferor, which the transferee cannot replicate. In that situation, the transferee usually takes on a modified obligation, for example, providing a profit-sharing scheme.

Other matters

Collective agreements transfer under TUPE. The transferee is usually able to terminate the agreement (but this can give rise to adverse employee and trade union relations issues).

Redundancy pay

11. How is redundancy pay calculated?

Employees made redundant on a TUPE transfer are entitled to a statutory redundancy payment calculated by reference to the employee's age, length of service and weekly pay (which is subject to a statutory maximum). Employees can also be entitled to more favourable redundancy payments under their employment contracts.

Harmonisation

12. To what extent can a transferee harmonise terms and conditions of transferring employees with those of its existing workforce?

Under TUPE, any change to employees' terms and conditions for a reason connected with the transfer is void (even if the employees agree to the change) unless it is an economic, technical or organisational reason (ETO reason) entailing changes in the workforce. Examples of ETO reasons include:

- Redundancy.
- A re-organisation involving changes in the roles of some or all of the employees.

A change to terms and conditions purely to harmonise with those of the transferee's existing workforce is not an ETO reason, and is therefore void. However, if the changes are beneficial, employees are unlikely to challenge them.

Dismissals

13. To what extent can dismissals be implemented before or after the outsourcing?

Dismissals can be implemented before or after the outsourcing, but any dismissal for a reason connected with a TUPE transfer is automatically unfair, unless it is for an ETO reason (*see Question 12*). Employees with at least one year's service can claim unfair dismissal.

Liability for any pre-transfer dismissals by the transferor passes to the transferee under TUPE, unless the dismissal was for an ETO reason.

National restrictions

14. To what extent can particular services only be performed by a local national trained in your jurisdiction?

There are no restrictions on services being performed only by a local national (and such a restriction would be likely to constitute discrimination).

Secondment

15. In what circumstances (if any) can the parties structure the employee arrangements of an outsourcing as a secondment?

It is sometimes possible to structure the arrangements as a secondment, perhaps on a short-term basis or for senior employees, but there always remains a risk that TUPE will apply. It is usually preferable to assume that TUPE applies, and provide for this in the outsourcing agreement.

Information, notice and consultation obligations

16. What information must the transferor or the transferee provide to the other party in relation to any employees?

The transferor must provide the transferee with the following information on the transferred employees:

- Their identity and age.
- Certain particulars of employment (including notice, holiday, pay, hours, job title and place of work).
- Details of any disciplinary or grievance procedure involving the employee in the last two years.
- Details of any legal claim brought by the employee in the last two years or which the outgoing employer believes the employee can bring.
- Details of any collective agreements.

The transferor must provide this information at least 14 days before the transfer, and keep it up to date.

17. What are the notice, information and consultation obligations which arise for the transferor and the transferee in relation to employees or employees' representatives?

The transferor must inform any recognised trade union (or, if there is none, elected employee representatives of "affected employees") about the transfer and consult with them on any proposed measures (for example, redundancies, or changes to terms and conditions or working practices).

"Affected employees" means both:

- Employees that transfer.
- Employees that remain with the transferor and are affected by measures taken in connection with the transfer.

After the transfer, if the transferee proposes measures that will affect the transferred employees, it must:

- Notify the transferor of the measures.
- Consult with transferred employees and any of its own employees affected by the measures.

The transferor should preferably begin this consultation before signing the outsourcing agreement. However, unless it wishes to implement measures such as redundancies, it is generally acceptable to wait until conclusion of the contract. In this case, it should not suggest in its internal statements that it has made decisions in relation to any measures. It should, where possible, refer to "proposals" and state that matters are "subject to consultation". Where there is no trade union or existing worker representative group, the timetable must allow for the election of employee representatives.

There is no fixed timetable for TUPE information and consultation; however, there is an obligation to provide the information sufficiently in advance of the transfer to enable the necessary consultation to take place. In practice, the timetable which applies to multiple redundancies is often used as a guide (*see below*).

The penalty for failure to inform and consult is up to 13 weeks' pay per employee. The transferee is jointly and severally liable for any failure to inform or consult. Where there are proposals to make 20 or more employees redundant within 90 days of the transfer, the employer making these redundancies must also consult with trade union or employee representatives under section 188 of the Trade Union and Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992, at least 30 or 90 days before the first dismissal (depending on the number of dismissals).

Redundancies must often be implemented immediately after transfer, which can cause practical difficulties. Strictly, the transferee should implement the dismissals, and therefore conduct the consultation. In practice, the transferor often carries out the pre-transfer consultation, supported by the transferee. Additional consultation obligations apply if the transferee or transferor has a National or European Works Council. In practice, it can be possible to run any redundancy or other consultation process concurrently with any TUPE consultation.

It is not possible to contract out of the information and consultation obligations under TUPE.

DATA PROTECTION

18. What legal or regulatory requirements and issues may arise on an outsourcing concerning data protection?

Data protection and data security

General requirements. Under the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA), which implements Directive 95/46/EC on data protection, issues can arise in relation to:

- Transferring and processing employees' personal data.
- Ensuring that the supplier acts in all other respects (that is, other than in relation to its own staff) purely as a data processor; for example, that it applies sufficient security to other personal data and uses it only for the purposes that the customer permits (*Seventh Data Protection Principle (DPA) (Seventh Principle)*).

Due diligence. The DPA also contains pre-contract obligations (for example, regarding how due diligence on the supplier and transferring employees is carried out). Employees can use an alleged DPA infringement to try to delay or frustrate an outsourcing negotiation. With effect from 6 April 2010, the UK Information Commissioner has been empowered to impose civil fines of up to GB£500,000 for "serious contraventions" of the DPA. This may lead to some businesses carrying out additional due diligence on data protection compliance or seeking to impose more onerous contractual obligations on outsourcing providers. In addition, financial services firms may be exposed to much higher fines for failing to protect customer data (*see below, Confidentiality of customer data*).

Mechanisms to ensure compliance. The contract documentation generally deals with the requirements outlined above under "General Requirements", usually by including an undertaking by the parties to comply with the terms of the DPA. The wording also usually reflects the text of the Seventh Principle, in relation to the supplier's obligations as data processor. In some cases, the contract may also provide for additional measures designed to support compliance, such as a right for the outsourcing customer to carry out an audit of the supplier's processes (*see below under Confidentiality of customer data*).

Overseas transfers. Where personal data is to be exported for processing by the supplier outside the EEA, issues arise under the Eighth Data Protection Principle (DPA) and the parties may need to put in place additional measures to ensure that the export is permitted. The most commonly used mechanism to ensure compliant transfers outside the EEA is to put in place contracts with the supplier incorporating model terms approved by the European Commission. In some cases, alternative mechanisms may be available but this will depend on the specific circumstances of the outsourcing.

For example, some suppliers may have obtained approval from European data protection regulators for binding corporate rules which allow them to export data to other group companies based outside the EEA, without the need for specific contractual arrangements governing the transfer. In addition, where data is to be exported to the US, compliant transfers may be achieved where the transferee has agreed to comply with the principles of the "safe harbor" scheme operated by the US Department of Commerce.

Banking secrecy

General requirements. Case law has long established that banks owe their customers a duty of confidentiality under English law, subject to certain qualifications, namely, they can disclose information about a customer where:

- They are required to do so by law.
- It is in the public interest.
- It is in the interests of the bank (for example, in the course of instituting proceedings to recover loans).
- They have the client's express or implied consent.

In any event, UK banks are regulated by the FSA (*see Question 2* and *see below, Confidentiality of customer data*).

Mechanisms to ensure compliance. See below *Confidentiality of customer data*.

Whilst the common law and the constraints of the DPA impose obligations on banks to keep information confidential, tensions arise by virtue of the commercial aspirations on the part of banks (that is, transfer information to networks of bankers and affiliates and to outsource functions, to trade and transfer their debt and to share information on defaulting customers) and increasing legal and regulatory initiatives requiring disclosure to counter tax avoidance and evasion and money laundering.

Confidentiality of customer data

General requirements. In addition to being subject to the DPA, most outsourcing firms handling data on their customers' behalf are likely to be subject to a duty of confidence towards their customers and potentially also to third parties (for example, their customers' clients). Breach of that duty can give rise to:

- Damages claims.
- Injunctions preventing further unauthorised use of the relevant information.

Financial services firms can also be in a fiduciary relationship with their clients, in which case they will be subject to a fiduciary's duty of confidence under English law.

All FSA-regulated firms have a responsibility for safekeeping customer data under the:

- FSA Principles for Business.
- SYSC rulebook.
- Treating Customers Fairly (TCF) standard.

An FSA-regulated firm cannot delegate or contract out of its regulatory obligations when outsourcing.

SYSC 8 includes a requirement that an outsourcing firm must ensure that the service provider protects any confidential information relating to the firm and its clients.

There have been a number of high profile enforcement actions by the FSA against FSA-authorised firms for failing to protect customer data. In August 2010, Zurich Insurance PLC, UK branch was fined over GB£2 million for failing to protect the security of confidential customer information when outsourcing to a South African affiliate. This and other similar FSA enforcement actions were based on financial services legislation rather than the DPA, where the fining powers of the Information Commissioner are more limited.

Mechanisms to ensure compliance. An outsourcing firm must ensure that the service provider protects any confidential information relating to the firm and its clients. Generally, firms must ensure that their terms include adequate contractual provisions (including rights of redress and termination) and that their due diligence, oversight and ongoing monitoring procedures are robust. Amongst other measures, firms should consider the following:

- Conducting assessments of the risks associated with any data-processing outsourcing arrangements (including due diligence on security measures).
- Carrying out ongoing monitoring of their service provider.
- Instituting procedures to ensure that adequate management information is received relating to monitoring and due diligence procedures.
- Setting up reporting lines with their service provider.
- Appointing a single manager with overall responsibility for data security; and properly training staff.

SERVICE SPECIFICATION AND LEVELS

19. How is the services specification typically drawn up and by whom?

The parties usually draft the services specification together, although the supplier often takes the lead, based on its previous experience of similar projects.

Where, after contract signature, the parties agree to develop a detailed specification of the services, the customer's requirements can be attached to the contract as a separate schedule. Usually in these circumstances:

- There is an obligation on the supplier to ensure that the service description or specification is developed to reflect the customer's requirements.
- The customer's requirements are stated to take precedence over the service description.

20. How are the service levels and the service credits scheme typically dealt with in the contract documentation?

The parties usually identify and agree a set of objective, measurable criteria to measure performance (key performance indicators (KPIs) or service levels). These could be that deliveries of products in a logistics contract will be made within specific time periods or that telephone calls to a call centre will be answered within a defined period. These service levels are combined with a:

- Process for recording and reporting on success or failure in achieving the targets.
- Formula under which financial compensation is paid to the customer if targets are not met (for example, variance from the required level of performance by a specified percentage). These are referred to as service credits or liquidated damages.

The service levels and service credits can form part of the services specification or are laid out in a separate schedule to the main agreement (service level agreement (SLA)).

Generally, the service credits are offset against the fees otherwise payable to the supplier and are usually relatively modest. The aim is to compensate the customer for poor service without the need to pursue a claim for damages or terminate the agreement, and to motivate the supplier to meet the performance targets.

The service credits should be expressed to be the sole remedy of the customer for the particular failure concerned, but this should be without prejudice to the customer's wider rights in relation to more serious breaches of the agreement or persistent failures in performance, both of which should also be dealt with (*see Question 32*). Service credits are generally enforceable, provided they are a genuine pre-estimate of the customer's loss rather than a contractual penalty.

Establishing a baseline against which the service credits will be measured can form part of a due diligence exercise which precedes or follows contract signature.

CHARGING METHODS AND KEY TERMS

21. What charging methods are commonly used on an outsourcing?

The parties will adopt different approaches to charging depending on, among other things:

- The type of services being provided.
- Whether the supplier is appointed on an exclusive basis.
- Risk allocation between the parties.

A typical outsourcing contract adopts one, or a combination, of cost plus, fixed price and pay as you go.

Cost plus

The customer pays the supplier both:

- The actual cost of providing the services.
- An agreed profit margin.

There are usually additional provisions to ensure that:

- Costs are assessed on an agreed and transparent basis, which the customer can review (open book).
- Indirect costs (such as overheads, or the cost of investment in new assets, amortised over a specified period) are included on an agreed basis.

In addition, the customer usually includes measures to control costs, such as:

- An external third-party review to establish typical market prices (benchmarking).
- A pre-agreed inflation adjuster to regulate price increases or decreases (indexation).
- Measures to share cost savings between the parties and provide an incentive to the supplier to achieve these.
- A mechanism to assess and agree the cost impact of changes in the scope or level of services (charge variation mechanisms).
- A mechanism for agreeing annual budgets, which must then be adhered to, subject to permitted variances.

Fixed price

A fixed price is often used where there will be a regular and predictable volume and scope of services (for example, payroll), and the customer wants certainty for budgeting purposes.

Pay as you go

The customer pays a pre-agreed unit price for specific items of service (such as volumes of data processed or deliveries made). The supplier may want to add a minimum fee. It is often used where the level and volume of services is less predictable.

Particular consideration can be needed concerning how (if at all) the supplier will be allowed to recover implementation costs (for example, as a specific item of charge, linked to the achievement of measurable milestones or targets, or in an agreed manner over the life of the agreement).

22. What other key terms are used in relation to costs?

The principal terms used in relation to costs are:

- Charge variation mechanisms.
- Indexation.
- Benchmarking.
- KPIs.

(*See Questions 19* and *20*.)

CUSTOMER REMEDIES AND PROTECTIONS

23. If the supplier fails to perform its obligations, what remedies and relief are available to the customer under general law?

The customer has a number of remedies, including:

- Damages.
- Specific performance (available at the discretion of the court).
- Termination.

24. What customer protections are typically included in the contract documentation to supplement relief available under general law?

Customer protections typically include:

- A detailed measurement of service performance (often by reference to KPIs (*see Question 20*)) and reporting of actual and foreseeable problems usually combined with audit rights.
- Service credits or similar (*see Question 20*).
- Indemnity from the supplier for loss suffered by the customer in specified circumstances.
- Other forms of financial penalty, such as loss of exclusivity or a reduction in the minimum price payable to the supplier.
- Step-in rights.
- Specific provision for termination in defined circumstances (for example, material breach and insolvency) (*see Question 32*).
- A requirement for the supplier to hold insurance (for example, for damage to persons or property) and note the customer's interest on its insurance policy.
- A parent company guarantee (*see Question 5*).
- Warranties (*see Question 25*).

An appropriate governance or escalation structure under which each party appoints specified relationship managers to manage problem areas and to escalate them to higher levels if solutions cannot be easily found.

WARRANTIES AND INDEMNITIES

25. What warranties and/or indemnities are typically included in the contract documentation?

Typical supplier obligations are to:

- Confirm that it is entitled to enter into the agreement and perform its obligations.
- Perform the services with reasonable skill and care, in a timely and professional manner and in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations.

- Indemnify the customer against harm suffered due to the supplier's actions. This can be limited to harm suffered due to default (for example, wilful misconduct, negligence or breach of contract) or can extend to situations where the supplier's liability is not based solely on fault (for example, if performance of the services infringes third-party IP rights).
- Indemnify the customer against future liability in respect of employees transferred to the supplier as part of the outsourcing.
- Confirm that material information provided in the pre-tender and tender stages was and remains accurate, complete and not misleading (for example that the statements made about its services or its financial resources are true).
- Make other assurances specifically related to the project or type of services (for example, that the supplier has particular accreditations or operates in accordance with a particular quality assurance system). Many of these can be covered by specific contract terms (for example, in the SLA) instead of in the warranties section.

Typical customer obligations are to:

- Confirm that it is entitled to enter into the agreement and perform its obligations.
- Confirm that the information provided during the pre-tender and tender stages is accurate, complete and not misleading.
- Make assurances as to title, condition and maintenance of assets transferred to the supplier, including the absence of outstanding liabilities under contracts transferred (although there can be negotiation over exactly how the customer will transfer these).
- Indemnify the supplier against historic liability relating to employees transferred to the supplier as part of the outsourcing.

26. What limitations are imposed by national law on fitness for purpose and quality of service warranties?

English law implies contractual terms that goods are fit for purpose and of satisfactory quality, and that services will be performed with reasonable skill and care.

The agreement often specifically excludes these terms and replaces them with specific wording, with the intention that the agreement sets out all relevant obligations. In relation to limits on the right to exclude these terms, see *Question 35*.

27. What provisions may be included in the contractual documentation to protect the customer or supplier regarding any liabilities and obligations arising in connection with outsourcing?

For the main protections of this type which are typically included in outsourcing contracts, see *Question 24*.

Insurance

28. What types of insurance are available in your jurisdiction concerning outsourcing, and to what extent are they available?

The business insurance market in England and Wales is well developed and numerous different types of policy are available. The following are probably most relevant to outsourcing arrangements:

- Employer's liability insurance (in the UK, businesses must obtain this cover).
- Professional indemnity insurance (for example, to provide cover against claims for negligence in the performance of outsourced services).
- Business interruption insurance.
- Fidelity or Employee Dishonesty Insurance (to provide cover against fraud committed by employees).
- Public liability insurance.
- Land and buildings insurance.
- Directors' and officers' insurance (to cover directors and officers of a company against claims brought against them in that capacity).

TERM AND NOTICE PERIOD

29. Does national law impose any maximum or minimum term on an outsourcing? If so, can the parties vary this by agreement?

Generally, English law does not impose any maximum or minimum term on outsourcing. The duration of the arrangement is left to negotiation between the parties. An outsourcing arrangement is typically for a fixed term of between three and ten years, although there can be provision for automatic renewal on a rolling annual basis if a party does not give notice of termination, and assuming inclusion of a mechanism for reviewing charges.

In public procurement processes (see *Question 2, Public sector* and *Question 4*) the contract term is affected by the initial tender statements in the *Official Journal* and can only be extended under the public procurement rules. If the arrangement is a framework agreement (that is, an agreement under which specific purchases can be made throughout the term of the agreement), the maximum duration is four years (except in exceptional circumstances). Local authorities must carry out best value reviews every five years.

In certain circumstances, long-term supply agreements that include exclusive or minimum purchase and supply obligations can infringe EU or UK competition law. For certain vertical agreements, Regulation (EC) No. 330/2010 on the application of Article 101(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) provides a safe harbour. However, this does not apply to exclusive purchasing obligations and certain minimum purchasing obligations (if the obligation is for a term exceeding five years).

30. Does national law regulate the length of notice period required (maximum or minimum)? If so, can the parties vary this by agreement?

English law does not regulate the notice period required to terminate an outsourcing agreement. This is left to the parties to specify in the agreement. The length of notice can vary according to the grounds for termination. In the case of a material breach or insolvency, a short notice period is likely to be the only practical solution, although it is subject to a cure period for breaches of contract.

Generally, the nature of an outsourcing arrangement means that an extended notice period is often desirable for the customer to make alternative arrangements. Mechanisms should be included in the agreement that oblige the supplier to:

- Continue to perform services during the notice period.
- Co-operate with the transfer to a replacement supplier (or to bring the services back in-house).

TERMINATION AND TERMINATION CONSEQUENCES

Events justifying termination

31. What events justify termination of an outsourcing without giving rise to a claim in damages against the terminating party?

The following events are generally considered sufficiently serious to justify immediate termination:

- A particularly severe breach.
- A breach that indicates that the counterparty no longer wishes to continue with the agreement.
- The other party's insolvency, so that it is unable to perform its duties under the agreement.

Generally, however, parties specifically provide termination events in the agreement (see *Question 32*).

Fundamental breach. The English courts do not recognise the concept of a fundamental breach. Instead, English law provides that the innocent party will normally have the right to terminate and claim damages if the counterparty breaches a condition of the contract. A term is likely to be regarded as a condition where its breach would deprive the innocent party of "substantially the whole benefit of the contract". The right to terminate for breach of a condition normally exists alongside any express contractual termination rights. Case law suggests that it is possible to exclude this right where the parties clearly intended the express provisions to form a "complete code" with regard to the arrangements for termination. However, in practice, this would be unusual and the express provisions in the contract would normally be expected to allow termination for severe breaches likely to be regarded as breaches of conditions (see *Question 32*).

Insolvency events. Commercial contracts will normally contain provisions allowing either party to terminate immediately if the other is the subject of some form of insolvency proceedings. If either party enters into an insolvency process, an administrator may decide not to honour existing contracts. If the administrator wishes the contract to continue (and the solvent party agrees not to exercise any contractual right to terminate), the debts due under the contract are classified as an expense of the administration and rank more highly than unsecured debts on the final distribution of assets. To the extent that the administrator elects not to perform as originally agreed, the innocent party may terminate, although the right to sue for breach of condition (see above) will be of little value. On liquidation, the liquidator has the right to disclaim obligations under contracts which he considers to be onerous. The new Special Administration Regime for investment banks stipulates that providers of certain key utilities and other services may not terminate their agreements until the insolvent party has found alternative suppliers.

Other. In addition, the contract may contain provisions for termination if a party commits an irremediable material breach or following an event of *force majeure* (as defined in the contract). To the extent that the contract does not deal with such matters, the contract may be regarded as having come to an end if its performance becomes impossible or if external events conspire to make it radically different from what was originally envisaged by the parties. This is referred to as "discharge by frustration".

32. In what circumstances can the parties exclude or agree additional termination rights?

The parties are free to agree specific termination rights, which can block or extend rights implied by general law, for example, termination for:

- Breach of the agreement. Typically, the breach must be material and it is usual to include a cure period in which the injured party gives written notice of the breach and allows the counterparty a reasonable period to remedy it (often 60 days or more).
- Minor but persistent breaches (with the type of breach and number of breaches needed to trigger the termination right defined in the agreement).
- Insolvency (with the definition of insolvency set out in the agreement).
- Change of control (ultimate ownership) of the supplier.
- Termination for convenience by the customer on notice. This allows the customer to switch suppliers without having to give a reason (for example, if it is generally dissatisfied but unable to demonstrate any clear breach). This is usually an expensive option, since the supplier often requires compensation for early termination.

IP rights and know-how post-termination

33. What implied rights are there for the supplier to continue to use licensed IP rights post-termination? To what extent can the parties exclude or include these by agreement?

Where the customer licenses IP rights to the supplier in connection with the outsourcing, the licence terms generally govern the continued use of those rights by the supplier post-termination (either in the main agreement or a separate document). The customer is usually reluctant to agree a continuation unless it receives some benefit.

Where there is no specific agreement and a licence has been implied, it is generally implied that the licence ends post-termination. The parties can (and should) make specific provision to regulate how far either will remain entitled to use the other's IP rights post-termination.

34. To what extent can the customer gain access to the supplier's know-how post-termination and what use can it make of it?

To the extent that specific IP rights cover the supplier's know-how (*see Question 33*).

Where the know-how is in the supplier's confidential information, the customer usually expressly undertakes to maintain the information in confidence and use it only in connection with the agreement. However, to the extent that the know-how is the skill and experience of employees engaged in performing the services, and the employees transfer to a new supplier (or back to the customer) under TUPE (*see Question 9*), the customer can benefit from such skills, except for specific confidential information.

Where the supplier develops know-how (or IP rights) during the term of the agreement for use in the performance of the services, or otherwise embeds its IP into the assets and systems of the customer, the customer usually requires a written licence to continue using the know-how or IP.

LIABILITY, EXCLUSIONS AND CAPS

35. What liability can be excluded?

The parties are generally free to exclude most forms of liability, subject to a number of important conditions outlined below:

- An exclusion of liability for fraud is unenforceable and should be carved out from any general exclusion of liability.
- Explicit wording is usually required if exclusions or limitations are intended to apply to liability arising from a party's negligence or deliberate breach.
- Exclusions or restrictions of liability for misrepresentation must satisfy the requirement of reasonableness in the Unfair Contract Terms Act 1977 (UCTA).
- Under UCTA, it is not possible to exclude or restrict liability for death or personal injury resulting from negligence. In the case of other loss or damage, the exclusion or restriction of liability for negligence must satisfy UCTA's reasonableness requirement.
- If the parties are dealing on written standard terms of business, any exclusion or restriction of liability for breach of contract must satisfy UCTA's reasonableness requirement. However, in an outsourcing agreement, there is likely to be considerable debate as to whether a liability provision (which will usually have been negotiated) is part of the written standard terms.
- Implied terms as to title to assets cannot be excluded or restricted, while those relating to satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and certain other matters can only be restricted where this meets UCTA's reasonableness requirement.

Subject to the above, a supplier (and usually the customer) will aim to exclude liability for:

- Indirect and consequential loss.
- Loss of business, profit or revenue, where these constitute a direct loss.

In contrast, the customer will usually try to ensure that it is able, under the agreement, to recover all its direct loss (including direct loss of profit, business and revenue). It can also specify particular heads of loss that are recoverable to evidence that these are agreed to constitute direct loss. In practice, these are subject to negotiation.

36. Are the parties free to agree a cap on liability? If so, how is this usually fixed?

The parties can agree a financial limit on liability, subject to the limitations set out in *Question 35*. This can be a fixed amount, or a percentage or multiple of the contract value (for example, 125%).

The extent to which this formula will be held reasonable under UCTA (in cases where it is required to be reasonable) is uncertain. Current practice suggests that a percentage is better than a fixed sum, and that anything under 100% of the contract value can be held to be unreasonable, where the liability covered is significant. When using this approach, it is important to:

- Define contract value.
- Identify any areas where the liability should not be subject to a cap (for example, the supplier's indemnity in relation to IP rights and/or TUPE is often unlimited).

The supplier should take care that the drafting of the cap does not restrict its right to recover for non-payment of charges that are properly due to it from the customer.

TAX

37. What are the main tax issues that arise on an outsourcing?

Transfers of assets to the supplier

Where the customer transfers assets to the supplier, there is an actual or deemed sale. The actual price or deemed market value (as appropriate) is treated as disposal proceeds for tax purposes and so can give rise to either a profit (on which tax is due) or a loss (which can be relievable against other tax charges of the customer). In practice, this is not usually a significant concern in outsourcings as typically very few assets of value are transferred. Since the outsourced business will generally have been run as a cost centre within the customer's business, it cannot typically be argued to have any goodwill associated with it. Moreover, assets transferred are often IT equipment or similar, which has minimal second-hand value.

The question also arises of whether the customer is required to charge VAT on the transfer of the assets. In some circumstances, the customer can argue that it is transferring part of its business as a going concern, and VAT need not be charged. However, even when VAT is chargeable, it is not usually a significant issue, as any price for the assets is often nil or minimal.

Transfers of employees to the supplier

From the date of the transfer, the supplier becomes responsible for the calculation and payment of:

- PAYE income tax.
- National insurance.

Exceptions apply where the:

- Supplier makes payments to the customer's employees before the business is transferred to it.
- Customer makes payments to the employees that the supplier acquires after the business has been transferred.

VAT or sales tax

Due to the nature of the services provided by the supplier, VAT usually applies. Where the supplier and the customer are both based in the UK, the supplier charges and collects VAT in the usual way. However, depending on the nature of the services, where the supplier is based outside the UK, often both:

- It does not charge local VAT.
- The customer must operate the reverse charge procedure and account for UK VAT relating to the supply, as if it had made the supply itself.

From 1 January 2010, the range of services falling within the reverse charge regime has been greatly broadened and there are very few exceptions.

Where the customer's business is fully taxable, it can recover the VAT in full (whether it was paid to the supplier or accounted for to HMRC under the reverse charge procedure). However, where the customer's business is not fully taxable, the VAT is not fully recoverable (whether paid conventionally or through the reverse charge). Therefore, the outsourcing gives rise to a significant tax cost. This is a significant issue in the financial services and insurance industries.

Service taxes

Aside from VAT (*see above, VAT or sales tax*), there are no significant service taxes on an outsourcing in the UK.

Stamp duty

Stamp duty or stamp duty land tax can arise on:

- Transfers of commercial real property at up to 4%.
- Transfers of UK shares in companies at 0.5% (although this is rarely relevant to an outsourcing).

Corporation tax

Companies subject to UK corporation tax on their profits (including gains) are liable to pay a rate of corporation tax of up to 26%. This is currently expected to be reduced gradually to 23% in April 2014.

CONTRIBUTOR DETAILS

RICHARD BROWN

Travers Smith LLP
T +44 20 7295 3000
F +44 20 7295 3500
E *richard.brown@traverssmith.com*
W *www.traverssmith.com*

Qualified. England and Wales, 1998

Areas of practice. Commercial; outsourcing; joint ventures; media contracts.

Recent transactions

- Advised Firstsource on Barclays Bank's outsourcing to it of the Barclaycard credit card and payment businesses.
- Advised Red Bee Media on a series of outsourcings, including in relation to its IT infrastructure and the provision of outsourced subtitling, playout, access and creative services to TV broadcasters.
- Advised Trainline.com on its appointment as an outsourced agent of the UK train operating companies for the sale of train tickets by internet and telephone.

ANDREW LILLEY

Travers Smith LLP
T +44 20 7295 3000
F +44 20 7295 3500
E *andrew.lilley@traverssmith.com*
W *www.traverssmith.com*

Qualified. England and Wales, 1987

Areas of practice. Employment.

Recent transactions

- Advised Raytheon Systems Limited on a major IT infrastructure government outsourcing project and the employment issues arising on the termination of that contract, including redundancies and the transfer of employees to the new service provider.
- Advised L'Oreal UK on the secondary outsourcing and in-sourcing of some of its brand design and manufacture functions.
- Advised 3i Infotech on a major IT infrastructure outsourcing from BNP Paribas, including a number of transitional services arrangements between the parties.

TOM PURTON

Travers Smith LLP
T +44 20 7295 3000
F +44 20 7295 3500
E *tom.purton@traverssmith.com*
W *www.traverssmith.com*

Qualified. England and Wales, 1990

Areas of practice. Commercial; outsourcing; strategic alliances; joint ventures.

Recent transactions

- Advised Metro Bank, the first new UK high street bank for over 100 years, on all its major outsourcing agreements with third-party suppliers.
- Advised Carpetright on the outsourcing by Allied Carpets of key aspects of its production and distribution facilities as well as its insurance related business.
- Advised Pershing Securities in relation to the outsourcing by Barclays Bank of its investment administration function to Mellon Bank.

DAN REAVILL

Travers Smith LLP
T +44 20 7295 3000
F +44 20 7295 3500
E *dan.reavill@traverssmith.com*
W *www.traverssmith.com*

Qualified. England and Wales, 1999

Areas of practice. Commercial; IP; IT; IP/IT litigation.

Recent transactions

- Metro Bank on an outsourcing agreement with Voca Link for the supply of transaction processing and ATM support services.
- BBI (Channel Islands) Holdings on the outsourcing of the entire IT operations for the billing function of the gas supplier for the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.
- Lyceum Capital on the long-term outsourcing of its entire IT function.
- TSL on the outsourcing of its core IT environment, together with the provision of managed services.

TRAVERS SMITH

Top Tier Firm for Commercial Contracts

Legal 500 (2011)

Our clients come to us because they know we have an uncompromising focus on quality.

Our partners are to the fore throughout every transaction, leading small, close-knit teams that stay with clients in the long term. Our size allows us to be entrepreneurial in approach and exceptionally efficient. We also fight only the battles that are worth winning, concentrating all the time on the results we can achieve for our clients rather than the points we can score.

To find out more about the benefits of our focused approach, please contact us on 020 7295 3354

www.traversssmith.com