

International **Comparative** Legal Guides



Derivatives **2020**

A practical cross-border insight into derivatives

First Edition

Featuring contributions from:

Bonelli Erede Lombardi Pappalardo LLP

Borenus Attorneys Ltd

CARDIGOS

Carey

Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

Gilbert + Tobin

GSK Stockmann

Haynes and Boone, LLP

Hengeler Mueller

Jeantet AARPI

Maples Group

Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu

Nishimura & Asahi

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP

Shearman & Sterling LLP

Travers Smith LLP

ICLG.com

Expert Chapters

- 1** **Smart Contracts in the Derivatives Space: An Overview of the Key Issues for Buy-Side Market Participants**
Jonathan Gilmour & Vanessa Kalijnikoff Battaglia, Travers Smith LLP
- 4** **Close-out Under the 1992 and 2002 ISDA Master Agreements**
Donna Parisi, Azam Aziz, Geoffrey Goldman & Daniel Laguardia, Shearman & Sterling LLP
- 15** **Structural Considerations in Deal Contingent Hedges**
Meyer C. Dworkin & Michele Babkine, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
- 19** **Derivatives and Incentives for Opportunistic or Manipulative Behaviour: Related Issues and Responses**
Giorgio Bovenzi, Matthew Frankle, Matthew Howes & Brian Sung, Haynes and Boone, LLP
- 26** **Japanese Yen Interest Rate Benchmark Reform – Crossroad of the Local Movements in Japan and the Global Movement in the Derivatives Space**
Yusuke Motoyanagi & Toshiyuki Yamamoto, Nishimura & Asahi
- 32** **Cross-Border Derivatives for Project Finance in Latin America**
Felicity Caramanna, Credit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank

Q&A Chapters

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 36 Australia
Gilbert + Tobin: Louise McCoach 43 Cayman Islands
Maples Group: Tina Meigh & Alasdair Robertson 48 Chile
Carey: Francisco Ugarte, Alejandra Daroch & Domingo Russi 55 England & Wales
Travers Smith LLP: Jonathan Gilmour & Vanessa Kalijnikoff Battaglia 64 Finland
Borenus Attorneys Ltd: Niina Nuottimäki & Jenny Shahnovskij 71 France
Jeantet AARPI: Jean-François Adelle, Thibault Mercier & Tamara Mammadova | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 80 Germany
Hengeler Mueller: Stefan Krauss & Christian Schmies 87 Italy
Bonelli Erede Lombardi Pappalardo LLP: Giuseppe Massimiliano Danusso, Angelica Spinelli Giordano & Matteo Bencic 94 Japan
Nagashima Ohno & Tsunematsu: Ichiro Oya, Masayuki Fukuda, Hideaki Suda & Tsutomu Endo 101 Luxembourg
GSK Stockmann: Andreas Heinzmann & Valerio Scollo 107 Portugal
CARDIGOS: Pedro Cardigos, Maria Almeida Fernandes & Sara Santos Dias 114 USA
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP: Manuel S. Frey & Anastasia V. Peterson |
|---|--|

Smart Contracts in the Derivatives Space: An Overview of the Key Issues for Buy-Side Market Participants

Travers Smith LLP



Jonathan Gilmour



Vanessa Kalijnikoff Battaglia

Introduction

There is no universally accepted definition for ‘smart contracts’, but this term is commonly used to refer to legal contracts (or elements of legal contracts) being represented and executed by software. The term ‘smart’ refers to the fact that some elements of a smart contract are automatic and self-executing pursuant to pre-defined conditions.

The market is evolving to differentiate a ‘smart legal contract’ from a smart contract code. Smart legal contracts would comprise pieces of smart contract code creating a legally enforceable arrangement. A smart contract code, on the other hand, would not necessarily form part of a smart legal contract, but would constitute a piece of code (or programming language) designed to provide for the execution of certain tasks by a machine.

There has been an increased interest from key industry bodies, such as the International Swaps and Derivatives Association (ISDA), on the development of technology-enabled solutions (including the use of smart contracts), which will allow a fundamental reshaping of the derivatives infrastructure. ISDA’s view is that these solutions should improve operating efficiency, reduce operating costs and risk, and increase both the quality and transparency of data.

Latest Developments in the Derivatives Market

There is still a long way to go, but some of the key developments involving ISDA’s work to facilitate the use of smart contracts across the derivatives industry include:

- (i) In 2017, ISDA issued the first version of the Common Domain Model (CDM), known as ISDA CDM 1.0, followed by its second version ISDA CDM 2.0 which was published in 2019. The CDM is a standardised solution aimed at providing market participants with a common digital representation throughout the lifecycle of a derivatives transaction. In its first two phases, the CDM provides for the representation of certain events in a machine-readable format with a focus on interest rate and credit derivatives, including an initial representation of equity swaps products and the ISDA Credit Support Annex for initial margin. It is expected that in its next phases the CDM will be further developed to incorporate models for foreign exchange transactions. ISDA has also been working to update the 2006 ISDA Definitions (including through the draft 2020 ISDA Interest Rate Derivatives Definitions) to make them more compatible with the CDM.
- (ii) On 9 April 2019, ISDA and Digital Asset (a blockchain start-up) announced the development of a smart-contract based tool for derivatives trading and that they are currently working on an open-source reference code library which will facilitate the implementation of the CDM. The combined use

of the smart-contract tool with the CDM is expected to allow a superior level of automation of derivatives management.

- (iii) Over the past year, ISDA has published a series of papers focused on providing *Legal Guidelines for Smart Derivatives Contracts*. These papers set out ways in which derivatives contracts may be modernised and automated through the use of blockchain technology and other fintech developments, beginning with an *Introduction* to the subject in January 2019. ISDA has produced guidance on the use of smart derivatives contracts in relation to:

- **ISDA Master Agreement** (February 2019) – the paper acts as an introduction to the ISDA 2002 Master Agreement for potential fintech developers and highlights the interdependence of key mechanics within the 2002 Master Agreement, including events of default and termination events, payment and delivery obligations, close-out and netting.
- **Collateral** (September 2019) – as parties to derivatives contracts are becoming increasingly subject to margin requirements, automation of the collateral exchange process would ease the administrative burden. ISDA proposals include automating the resolution of disputes in a timely fashion, automating the valuation of collateral and streamlining the collateral enforcement process.
- **Equity Derivatives** (February 2020) – the ISDA 2011 Equity Derivatives Definitions provide potential for broader standardisation across the market which lends itself to the use of smart derivatives contracts. The paper proposes that automation be facilitated through pre-defined options in the Relationship Supplement or a Transaction Supplement to the definitions. Fintech solutions based on the 2011 Equity Definitions framework offer a chance to build shared processes on a standard CDM representation.
- **Interest Rate Derivatives** (February 2020) – a key driver in the development of the revised ISDA Interest Rate Definitions has been to ensure the standardised definitions are more technology-friendly. ‘Light chain’ systems would allow market participants to exchange information in relation to interest rate derivatives in a more automated way, while ‘heavy chain’ systems could potentially allow collateral to be transferred and held digitally.

ISDA has acknowledged the challenges in implementing the use of smart contracts (and other technology-enabled solutions) in the derivatives space and has established a number of internal committees and industry-wide working groups to focus on technology-related topics, including the ISDA Legal Technology Working Group, the ISDA Smart Contracts/DLT Legal Working Group, the ISDA CDM Design Working Group and the ISDA Clause Library Project.

In relation to its work in further developing the CDM, ISDA is also set to establish a governance framework – this will comprise an executive committee and two forums of technical and product experts, which will be open to ISDA's membership.

Issues and Challenges to be Considered from a Buy-Side Perspective

There is a number of issues and challenges that will need to be considered by ISDA in its discussions with market participants to facilitate the transition of the derivatives market towards the use of smart contract code and smart legal contracts.

Scope of automation: operational and non-operational clauses

The main payment and delivery obligations in respect of a derivatives transaction are dependent on conditional logic, so these would be well placed for being represented into a smart legal contract. However, not all clauses are susceptible to being automated and self-executed. Certain legal terms are subjective in nature and would produce ambiguity if represented in smart contract code.

The materials produced by ISDA relating to the use of smart contracts in the derivatives space suggest that when determining which parts of a derivatives contract are susceptible to automation, it is helpful to distinguish between operational and non-operational clauses. Operational clauses would generally contain conditional logic so would be more susceptible to automation, whereas non-operational clauses would more likely relate to the wider contractual relationship between the parties, proving to be more resistant to automation.

Issues with legal validation

For a smart legal contract to produce its intended legal effect, its automated provisions (or smart contract codes) must be legally validated by a lawyer. This might be challenging as it would require lawyers to understand the programming language. It follows that there is the need for programmers to work in collaboration with lawyers to leverage their legal insight into which parts of the ISDA documentation framework would be legally effective if converted into an automatable form. ISDA is expected to play an important role in facilitating this work.

It will be challenging for non-operational clauses that include some degree of subjective interpretation (e.g. where a party is required to act in good faith or commercially reasonable manner) or those that are more complex in nature (e.g. when an event of default is linked to the occurrence of a specific event outside the contractual relationship and that is not easily asserted) to be legally validated.

Issues with automation

Not all provisions, when automated, would produce the same effect as if complied with in their original form (i.e. in natural language) without automation.

By way of example, upon the occurrence of an event of default under a derivatives contract, the non-defaulting party would have the right to terminate the outstanding transactions. Under normal circumstances, under a non-automated contract, there is a range of factors that the non-defaulting party would take into account before pulling the trigger – these tend to be subjective and include commercial considerations, the relationship context at the time of the event and the nature of the default. It would

be difficult to cater for these factors when translating event of default provisions into programming language. In practice, the occurrence of an event of default under a smart legal contract would be self-automated, so it would automatically trigger the termination of any outstanding transactions.

ISDA has proposed to work with its members to select provisions within the ISDA documentation framework that are best suited for automation – their goal is to select provisions that can be automated without changing their legal effect.

Complex and bespoke derivatives contracts

Certain derivatives contracts can be heavily negotiated and customised to apply to bespoke arrangements made between the parties. The level of customisation might vary depending on counterparty type and product complexity. Examples of highly customised arrangements include total return swaps, longevity swaps and other structured finance products that will likely be made under a wide set of documents forming the overall derivatives architecture where various levels of obligations apply across different parts of the documentation. It would be challenging to translate these interlinking obligations into programming language in a straightforward manner.

The recent regulatory developments in the derivatives space (which follow a global trend post the global financial crisis) have also contributed to the complexity of certain derivatives contracts, e.g. there is an increase in the use of third-party custodians when implementing collateral arrangements to deal with certain margin requirements, and there are additional layers of complexity arising from the need for certain over-the-counter derivatives transactions to be centrally cleared.

Laws affecting contractual performance

Certain laws might have the effect of interrupting the performance of contracts – e.g., where a provision under a specific contract is rendered void, or where a contractual stay is applied to a party in financial distress under the applicable regulatory regime. How would smart legal contracts interact with these laws? This is another issue to be considered by ISDA in its discussions with market participants.

Liquidity concerns

Once the market has moved to address most of the key concerns that are set out in this chapter, it is likely that only the largest and most sophisticated market participants will be able to start using smart legal contracts. The smaller or less sophisticated players, including many buy-side entities, might find it more challenging and costly to adapt their processes to the new 'reshaped' derivatives market.

What Should a Buy-Side Market Participant be Doing?

The market is still evolving and is in its early stages of developing a model that works across the derivatives industry. For the time being, buy-side entities should consider becoming involved with the initiatives put forward by ISDA, including the working group discussions and projects. It is also important for buy-side entities to discuss and compare notes with their peers, counterparty banks, legal advisers and other market participants on the changes that will need to be implemented into their systems and processes to allow for the use of smart derivatives contracts.



Jonathan Gilmour is a partner at Travers Smith and heads its Derivatives & Structured Products team. He specialises in derivatives and structured products from both a transactional and advisory standpoint. He is widely regarded by peers and clients as one of the leading specialists in his field. He counts among his clients some of the UK's largest and most sophisticated financial institutions, investment managers, private equity houses, challenger banks and occupational pension schemes.

Jonathan regularly negotiates and advises on ISDA, GMRA and GMSLA documentation as well as the impact of related regulation including EMIR and SFTR. He also advises on the structure and documentation of bespoke transactions to hedge exposure to key market risks, including interest rate, inflation, FX and longevity; and advises on investment management, custody, clearing and collateral management arrangements, as well as pension scheme funding and risk transfer arrangements.

Travers Smith LLP

10 Snow Hill
London
EC1A 2AL
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 20 7295 3425
Email: jonathan.gilmour@traverssmith.com
URL: www.traverssmith.com



Vanessa Kalijnikoff Battaglia is a senior associate in the finance department at Travers Smith, where she is part of the Derivatives & Structured Products team. Vanessa joined Travers Smith as an associate in 2014 and became a senior associate in 2017, having previously qualified to practise law in both Brazil and New York.

She acts for a wide range of banks and other financial institutions, investment funds, pension schemes, fintechs, asset managers and corporates, advising on derivatives and structured products. She is a member of AIMA's OTC Derivatives Working Group and Invest Europe's Working Group on Derivatives. Vanessa is described by *The Legal 500 UK* as "very knowledgeable and responsive" and was recognised in the 2020 edition as a Rising Star.

Travers Smith LLP

10 Snow Hill
London
EC1A 2AL
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 20 7295 3150
Email: vanessa.battaglia@traverssmith.com
URL: www.traverssmith.com

It is not just law at Travers Smith. We treat our clients' business as our business, their dispute as our dispute, their challenges as ours. Our clients know that wherever in the world they or their ambitions lie, we will work as one of their team to get things done.

Our Derivatives & Structured Products team advises private equity and financial sponsors, pension schemes, banks, insurers, asset managers, corporates, fintechs and funds on all aspects of their derivatives and bespoke structured financing arrangements. Examples of regular work include advising on derivatives, repo, securities lending, debt capital market instruments, margin lending and insurance-wrapped solutions; hedging of interest rate, FX, inflation, equity, credit, commodity, longevity and other key market risks; English law collateral, security and other credit support; investment management, LDI/CDI strategies, custody and clearing; EMIR,

SFTR and related regulation, and pension funding solutions. Maintaining regular interaction with the principal regulatory authorities and key industry bodies allows us to provide cutting-edge advice that is up-to-speed with industry developments.

www.traverssmith.com

**TRAVERS
SMITH**

ICLG.com

Current titles in the ICLG series

Alternative Investment Funds
Anti-Money Laundering
Aviation Finance & Leasing
Aviation Law
Business Crime
Cartels & Leniency
Class & Group Actions
Competition Litigation
Construction & Engineering Law
Consumer Protection
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Investigations
Corporate Tax
Cybersecurity
Data Protection
Derivatives
Designs

Digital Business
Digital Health
Drug & Medical Device Litigation
Employment & Labour Law
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment & Climate Change Law
Family Law
Fintech
Foreign Direct Investment Regimes
Franchise
Gambling
Insurance & Reinsurance
International Arbitration
Investor-State Arbitration
Lending & Secured Finance
Litigation & Dispute Resolution
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining Law

Oil & Gas Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pharmaceutical Advertising
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Project Finance
Public Investment Funds
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Renewable Energy
Restructuring & Insolvency
Sanctions
Securitisation
Shipping Law
Telecoms, Media & Internet
Trade Marks
Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms